

Hello all,

I am writing to you all (again!) in my capacity as Co-Head of the Honor Board. Per section II. A. 2. C. vi. of [the Honor Code](#) the Head of the Honor Board at the end of the semester is to inform the student body of the cases that took place that semester, so here is the Fall '25 case summaries. The reason I am sending this semester's summaries so close to when I sent the Spring '25 summary is both because in the Honor Code the summary is supposed to be sent out at the end of each semester, and because it is important to remember the commitments we have made to the academic honor code during finals. I have also attached a case abstract, as a reminder, case abstracts occurred no less than two and no more than six semesters ago. Please note, I have organized these cases by type, and have anonymized cases to the best of my ability.

In the Fall of 2025, there were 10 cases adjudicated by the Honor Board

- Academic: 7 cases
  - Alternative Resolution: 6 cases
    - Student used AI on a writing assignment; resolution was that student wrote a letter of apology to the professor (note, no grade changing resolution as it was deemed inappropriate and the issue had initially occurred in Spring '25)
    - Student used AI during a closed book exam; resolution was that student went ahead with preexisting plan to withdraw from the course
    - Student used AI during the short answer portion of a closed book exam; resolution was that student received 0 points for the questions they used AI for
    - Student used AI to write a rough draft of a paper and used AI to complete short weekly discussion post-esque assignments; resolution was that student would receive 0 points for the weekly discussion post-esque assignments where they used AI, and student would rewrite and revise the draft where they used AI, in their own words
    - Student A improperly collaborated with Student B during an Exam; Student A received a 0 for the specific question where they collaborated
    - Student B improperly collaborated with Student A during an exam, Student B received a 0 for the specific question where they collaborated.
  - Honor Board Hearing Case:
    - Student did not cite outside sources during an exam where any outside sources needed to be cited, and no outside electronic sources were permitted. There was a lack of clarity as to whether the student had already learned the information in a previous class (but did not cite it as coming from a previous class) or if the student had used the internet to find resources (and then not cited them and used electronic sources), hence why the case went to an Honor Board hearing. The Honor Board did not determine whether or not the student already knew the information or used the internet, but did decide

the student used information learned outside of class without citing the information, thus breaking the exam's policies; The honor board resolved that the student should receive a 0 for the specific questions on the exam where they used outside information without citing it.

- Social cases: 3 cases
  - Alternative resolution: 3 cases
    - I have decided that it is not pertinent or appropriate to offer summaries of the social cases as doing so would jeopardize students' anonymity due to collective memory of events.

In the Fall of 2025, there were zero dean's panels.